

**OUTGOING
TELEGRAM**

INDICATE ☐ COLLECT
☐ CHARGE TO

Department of State

SECRET SECURITY INFORMATION

Classification OF STATE A/CDC/MR

REVIEWED BY ROMINE DATE Jan 16/1986

DATE

RECEIVED DEPT
ACCEPTANCE UNIT

SENT TO: USUN NEW YORK

RES ☐ or XDS ☐ EXT. DATE

TS AUTH.

REASON(S)

ENDORSE EXISTING MARKINGS ☐

DECLASSIFIED ☒ RELEASABLE ☒

RELEASE DENIED ☐

PA or FOI EXEMPTIONS

AUG 29

7 44 PM '52

Origin

Info

RE Membership.

1. Dept suggests that US statement on SOV membership RES be along FOL lines:

~~US~~ US cannot (RPT cannot) accept RES of SOV REP for simultaneous admission

14 candidates because we continue prefer separate consideration each applicant

and continue have objections to admission certain candidates listed in RES

believe SC SHLD examine each applicant on own mer. (RPT not)

~~In our view we SHLD not pool together group of applicants~~

some of which may have sound and other unsound claims for membership.

USR, in its RES presently before us, links together 14 candidates

us to refrain from judging applicants on merits each case: whether or not we have objections to the admission of any of the candidates by single vote/and, incidentally, to ignore fact there are 14 candidates

besides these 14. We firmly believe that some of candidates listed - Austria, Ceylon, Finland, Ireland, Italy, Jordan, Libya, Nepal,

and Portugal, are fully qualified and SHLD be admitted. However, we continue to

have objections to admission of the remaining five - Albania, Bulgaria, Hungary,

Outer Mongolia, and Rumania. These objections are based upon our opinion that

UNA:UNP:Phones:SSC

8/29/52

Telegraphic transmission and classification approved by:

D.V. Sand

Clearances:

ARA

FE

EUR

NEA

L/UNA

Mr. Monahan (in draft)

Mr. Howard (in draft)

S/S CR

AUG 29 1952 P.M.

SECRET SECURITY INFORMATION

Classification

REPRODUCTION FROM THIS COPY, IF CLASSIFIED, IS PROHIBITED

For DCI use only

This Document
DCI 310

8/10/2/18-2522

SECRET SECURITY INFORMATION

Classification

these candidates do not fulfill conditions required by ART 4.

US and overwhelming majority UN MEMBS have long hoped for admission Austria, Ceylon, Finland, Ireland, Italy, Jordan, Libya, Nepal and Portugal. We deplore SOV policy of refusing to admit these states because of refusal of majority to admit ~~others~~. It is common knowledge that it is this arbitrary policy of SOV Union which has caused continued exclusion Italy and others. For example, the Italian application has been voted upon by this body on five different occasions, and five times her admission has been blocked by the Soviet Union. For our part, we continue to support strongly the applications of these nine states, which SHLD be permitted immediately to take their rightful place among us as full MEMBS of UN. ~~XXXXXX~~

2. If there is separate consideration of Agenda Item B, which we assume relates to GA RES 506 (VI), we believe that it WLD be appropriate under this item for SO to take separate vote on each of the applications included in SOV RES and also ROK. You SHLD, if procedurally feasible, propose this course of action, voting for the non-SOV applicants and against SOV applicants unless you believe our negative votes WLD constitute vetoes, in which case you SHLD consult DEPT.

BRUCE

Bruce
ACTING

DWP for DVS

SECRET SECURITY INFORMATION

Classification